UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION Alexandria, Virginia

)	
In the Matter of	j	
•)	Docket No.: 13-0034-R3
Linda Fite	,)	
,	·)	

NOTICE OF PROHIBITION

WHEREAS on or about March 6, 2013, Linda Fite ("Fite") was adjudged guilty of Theft, Ohio Rev. Code § 2913.02(A)(3) in the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas, in the State of Ohio, in connection with her employment at Cinco Family Financial Center Credit Union:

WHEREAS a violation of Ohio Rev. Code § 2913.02(A)(3) is a criminal offense involving dishonesty and breach of trust;

NOW, THEREFORE, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT:

1. Pursuant to 12 U.S. C. § 1785(d)(1)(A) and 12 U.S.C. § 1829(a)(1)(A), Fite is prohibited from becoming an "institution affiliated party" of any insured depository institution, as defined in 12 U.S.C. § 1786(r); otherwise participating, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of the affairs of any insured depository institution; and owning or controlling, directly or indirectly, any insured depository institution;

- 2. Pursuant to 12 U.S. C. § 1785(d)(1)(B) and 12 U.S.C. § 1829(a)(1)(B), no insured depository institution may permit Fite to engage in any conduct or continue in any relationship prohibited in paragraph 1 above;
- 3. Pursuant to 12 U.S.C. § 1785(d)(3) and 12 U.S.C. § 1829(b), whoever knowingly violates paragraph 1 or 2 above is subject to a fine of not more than \$1 million for each day such prohibition is violated, or imprisonment for not more than five (5) years, or both;
- 4. The "Judgment Entry" document, case number B 1207144, is made a part hereof and is incorporated herein by reference; and
- 5. This Notice of Prohibition shall be effective and enforceable on the date set forth below.

IT IS SO ORDERED this Bay of May, 2013

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION BOARD

Herbert Yolles

Regional Director

NCUA Region III

THE STATE OF OHIO, HAMILTON COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

date: 03/06/2013

code: GJEI judge: 269

Judge: LESLIE GHIZ

NO: B 1207144

STATE OF OHIO VS. LINDA 8 FITE JUDGMENT ENTRY: SENTENCE: INCARCERATION

Defendant was present in open Court with Counsel PRO SE on the 6th day of March 2013 for sentence.

The court informed the defendant that, as the defendant well knew, the defendant had pleaded guilty, and had been found guilty of the offense(s) of: count 1: THEFT. 2913-02A3/ORCN,F3

The Court afforded defendant's counsel an opportunity to speak on behalf of the defendant. The Court addressed the defendant personally and asked if the defendant wished to make a statement in the defendant's behalf, or present any information in mitigation of punishment.

Defendant is sentenced to be imprisoned as follows:
count 1: CONFINEMENT: 30 Mos DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

THE DEFENDANT IS TO MAKE RESTITUTION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$5,000.00.

THE DEFENDANT IS ELIGIBLE FOR EARLY RELEASE AFTER SERVING 80% OR 24 MONTHS OF SENTENCE.

THE DEFENDANT HAS BEEN ADVISED THE HE/SHE MAY BE ELIGIBLE TO EARN DAYS OF CREDIT UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES SPECIFIED IN R.C. 2967-193; THE DEFENDANT WAS FURTHER ADVISED THAT DAYS OF CREDIT ARE NOT AUTOMATIC, BUT MUST BE EARNED IN THE MANNER SPECIFIED IN THAT SECTION.

FURTHER, IN ACCORDANCE WITH RC 2901.07, THE DEFENDANT IS REQUIRED TO SUBMIT A DNA SPECIMEN WHICH WILL BE COLLECTED AT THE PRISON, JAIL, CORRECTIONAL OR DETENTION FACILITY TO WHICH THE DEFENDANT HAS BEEN SENTENCED. IF THE SENTENCE INCLUDES ANY PERIOD OF PROBATION OR COMMUNITY CONTROL, OR IF AT ANY TIME THE DEFENDANT IS ON PAROLE, TRANSITIONAL CONTROL OR POST-RELEASE CONTROL, THE DEFENDANT WILL BE REQUIRED, AS A CONDITION OF PROBATION, COMMUNITY CONTROL,

Page 1 MSG306N -

THE STATE OF OHIO, HAMILTON COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

date: 03/06/2013

code: GJEI judge: 269

Judge: LESLIE GHIZ

NO: B 1207144

STATE OF OHIO VS. LINDA S FITE JUDGMENT ENTRY: SENTENCE: INCARCERATION

PAROLE, TRANSITIONAL CONTROL OR POST-RELEASE CONTROL, TO SUBMIT A DNA SPECIMEN TO THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT, ADULT PAROLE AUTHORITY, OR OTHER AUTHORITY AS DESIGNATED BY LAW. IF THE DEFENDANT FAILS OR REFUSES TO SUBMIT TO THE REQUIRED DNA SPECIMEN COLLECTION PROCEDURE, THE DEFENDANT WILL BE SUBJECT TO ARREST AND PUNISHMENT FOR VIOLATING THIS CONDITION OF PROBATION, COMMUNITY CONTROL, PAROLE, TRANSITIONAL CONTROL OR POST-RELEASE CONTROL.

AS PART OF THE SENTENCE IN THIS CASE, THE DEFENDANT MAY BE SUPERVISED BY THE ADULT PAROLE AUTHORITY AFTER DEFENDANT LEAVES PRISON, WHICH IS REFERRED TO AS POST-RELEASE CONTROL, FOR UP TO THREE (3) YEARS AS DETERMINED BY THE ADULT PAROLE AUTHORITY.

IF THE DEFENDANT VIOLATES POST-RELEASE CONTROL SUPERVISION OR ANY CONDITION THEREOF, THE ADULT PAROLE AUTHORITY MAY IMPOSE A PRISON TERM, AS PART OF THE SENTENCE, OF UP TO NINE (9) MONTHS, WITH A MAXIMUM FOR REPEATED VIOLATIONS OF FIFTY PERCENT (50%) OF THE STATED PRISON TERM. IF THE DEFENDANT COMMITS A NEW FELONY WHILE SUBJECT TO POST-RELEASE CONTROL, THE DEFENDANT MAY BE SENT TO PRISON FOR THE REMAINING POST-RELEASE CONTROL PERIOD OR TWELVE (12) MONTHS, WHICHEVER IS GREATER. THIS PRISON TERM SHALL BE SERVED CONSECUTIVELY TO ANY PRISON TERM IMPOSED FOR THE NEW FELONY OF WHICH THE DEFENDANT IS CONVICTED.